

Proposed Amendments to Waiting Restrictions - Conyer Road, Barrow Green - Third Consultation

Ref	PLAN A - PARISH PROPOSED		PLAN B - BOROUGH PROPOSED		Comments
	Support	Object	Support	Object	
1					Not sure. People park across my drive. On call 24/7 need access to my drive.
2			1		Wondering if I would be better off having double yellow lines or a white line across my drive
3		1	1		Parking problem will move to another area. Where are the community car parks. Will only solve part of the problem. Only winner is commercial businesses and not public interests.
4		1	1		Please consider Double Yellow Lines on blind bend at Jordan Cottages, large vans plus 3-4 vehicles park there making it very dangerous.
5	1				Marked plan where cars park as above
6			1		When I bought this property I was told there was going to be a parking area at the back. Could this be an idea to provide an alternative area for parking.
7		1	1		I have poor sight and mobility. The cars parked on the pavement restrict access to my bungalow. Enforced "no parking" would assist me greatly.
8	1				
9		1		1	Object to A and B. There will be nowhere to park. Bad enough now. People from The Crescent park outside our houses and on the footpath at any time of day.
10		1	1		Needs monitoring to be effective
11					HANDED INTO RECEPTION - AWAITING
12		1			When family visit often problem parking. Double lines are going to make it worse
total	2	6	6	1	

Residents Consulted	21
Number of letters returned	12
Return Percentage	57
Support A Percentage	17
Object A Percentage	50
Support B Percentage	50
Object B Percentage	8

Other Consultees Response

Name	Teynham P C - Support Plan A
------	------------------------------

ANNEX B

Proposed Single Yellow Lines - Preston Avenue, Faversham

Ref	Support	Object	Comments
1		1	We cannot accommodate any more cars which we feel would happen if yellow lines were put in place.
2		1	This proposal will only bring the same problem to the bottom of the Avenue.
3		1	Have two cars, this would cause no end of problems. Do not go ahead with this plan.
4		1	Proposal would cause inconvenience to residents and their visitors. If there is a problem it would move it further down the Avenue to properties without garages or drives causing more problems.
5		1	If yellow lines are adopted please take them the whole length of road otherwise everyone will park outside No. 30
6	1		In favour of yellow lines but parking restrictions between 10-11am would not solve problem, car would park further along the Avenue.
7	1		In favour of yellow lines but for the whole of Preston Ave not just to 26-28 Preston Ave. Installing yellow lines to part of the road will just relocate cars further along Preston Ave. Better idea would be two hour parking restrictions with Residential Parking permits.
8		1	
9		1	Yellow lines would encourage commuters to park at lower end of road. Many upper end residents have off road parking.
10	1		
11		1	Strongly object. Where do we park if its single yellow all day or we have to move our cars. We are at work all day. After listening to ***** we signed petition on the understanding it would not inconvenience our right to park outside our home. The Council letter was clearly contrary to explanation.. We wish to remove our names from the petition. My father is extremely disabled with very limited mobility, to get him through the door without colossal effort and concerns for his health would be virtually impossible. Also have a young son so loading and unloading a buggy and shopping has safety implications. Have listed 10 points against this proposal at the bottom of this spreadsheet
12		1	If parking is restricted, the commuters will park on road with no yellow lines, i.e. outside my property.
13	1		
14	1		This plan will also help other associated factories pollute the area with noise and rubbish.
15	1		Support only on the basis that residents have a permit to exclude them from parking restriction. This should be clarified.
16			I support only if done for whole of Preston Ave, or else object. If lines stop at 26/28 then you transfer problem 100 metres to the other end of Avenue. Extend to junction with Makenade Avenue. I work all day so would not be able to move my car between 10-11 am

17	1	Also a problem with overnight car and van parking. Are residents allowed to park between 10-11am
18	1	
19	1	Do not see the need or sense of this proposal. Waste of money.
20	1	You will only move them to this end
21	1	Proposal will concentrate this relatively minor issue into a smaller part of the road. 1hr restriction will pose an inconvenience to residents which far outweighs any benefit.
22	1	
23	1	Object very strongly. I usually leave my car whilst at work or on holiday and would no longer be able to.
24	1	
25	1	Can't come soon enough. This used to be a fairly quiet residential Avenue.
26	1	We have no off road parking. Most of the cars are residents along the A2 not commuters. Those that car share will drive further down Preston Ave. This won't solve anything.
27	1	I have no where to park, only in Preston Ave. I need a car for work.
28	1	They will park outside my house instead of up the street. It will probably follow that parking permits will be issued to differentiate between residents and non-residents
29	1	Single yellow line will move problem down the Avenue. A continual line inconveniences everyone. No one wants permits.
30	1	Have two cars and four drivers. I park in this road. Unless free passes are issued to residents we would have to find a way of moving the car each day while we are at work and starting a parking problem elsewhere. The problem stems from London Road residents who have no parking. This would move parking to the Apple estate
31	1	Support if single yellow line includes 30 and 32 Preston Ave and terminates at the boundary of No 32. Houses beyond this property are terraced so need to park outside their property. The problem of all day parking by commuters is a problem for all the road. We frequently have cars blocking access to our property. By just applying single yellow lines to the top of road, the drivers will drive further down the road to park.
32	1	Have two cars with no off road parking. One of the cars is often on the road during the week. Restriction would be massively disruptive to us and costly. Lived here for 7 years and never experienced an issue with parking in the road or directly outside our home. Restriction would be highly unnecessary and those that signed petition were not fully aware of restrictions it would cause to residents and penalise those without off street parking. Parking Permits would be fairer alternative and we would pay for two, those with off road parking would have no need to purchase permit. We stand firm that the road provides ample parking and no restriction is necessary.

33	1	Stongly object. Avenue has ample room for the few commuters to park plus residents and family and friends. We have a B & B and a parking restriction would seriously affect our business. Guests often leave their car here and take the train to various locations. Would not be possible to move for one hour or they would park further down the road. The person who instigated the petition can accomodate at least six cars so guests and yogo classes could safely park without having to move away for an hour. Preston Avenue is a public highway for all to use. My wife and I would ilke to see the parking restriction proposal dropped.
34	1	Strongly object. If only 13 residents signed the petition how many were canvassed. We believe there were only 18 letters sent even to people who do not have cars. If the line stops at 26/28 this will push problem further down the road. If people do not have drives and go to work, will they have to get someone to move the cars for that hour? Will Bed and Breakfasts have to ask guests to move their cars. Petition not thought thorough and taken their very selfish views into consideration.
35	1	No parking problem, plenty of room in the Avenue. Most cars off road. People run B & B's and businesses from home here and this would cause us problems. ***** who suggested it has biggest drive and does not let customers use it so they park up and down the road. The problem will be pushed towards the end of the road, therefore not removing it just causing more problems further along the road.
36	1	Any scheme must allow the residents to park outside for the entire day.
37	1	Fully endorse the proposal
	total	13
		23

Residents Consulted	43
Number of letters returned	36
Return Percentage	84
Support Percentage	36
Object Percentage	64

Additional Comments from responder ref 11
 Is a private and peaceful community, which until this proposal lived in harmony. ***** has caused a great deal of upset, worry and distress
 Restriction would affect families, elderly and disabled users.
 10-11am restrictions would be impossible to enforce for many people who work to return home to move their car.
 Inserting single yellow line affects prospective buyers. Local estate agent has confirmed it would be detrimental to property values.
 Lines down to No.28 moves parking to lower half of Avenue and puts pressure on double-lined bend. This vision is slightly obscured and more cars could make a potentially dangerous blind spot.
 Arguable any need for restrictions

As taxpayers would encourage money to be better spent on more needy causes in community
Negative impact on businesses run in the Avenue with impact on accessibility and parking for visitors.
Any home improvements or service required to property would inconvenience the workmen
Collectively the inconvenience to many residents would unsettle a desirable and attractive place to live with exception of a few residents who

ANNEX B

Tavistock Road junction Johnson Road, Sittingbourne – Proposed Double Yellow Lines

Recommendation – Approval

Comment No.	Resident Ref No.	Comment/Objection	Response
1	1	Double yellow lines will push the traffic further up Barrow Grove, already congested at school times. Need to park an hour before schools come out. Police do not enforce parking regulations.	It is essential to keep junctions clear of parked vehicles to improve visibility and traffic movements. The highway code states vehicles should not park close to junctions the proposed restrictions are reinforcing what the highway code states.

Barrow Grove junction Homewood Avenue, Sittingbourne – Proposed Double Yellow Lines

Recommendation – Approval

Comment No.	Resident Ref No.	Comment/Objection	Response
1	1	There are not enough parking spaces so by putting in these lines it will make residents move further down the road and push our cars further from our house. Suggest giving us off road parking by using front gardens. Strongly object.	(1) Installing restrictions may displace a limited amount of parking, but the proposed restrictions will keep the junction clear and improve vehicles movements, therefore making this area safer. Residents can apply to Kent County Council for a dropped kerb to facilitate a driveway.
	2	Object because I would be unable to park outside my home. I'm unable to pay for a drop kerb. Yellow lines would push vehicles	The road is an adopted highway and no one has the right to park directly outside their house. As per (1)

		<p>down the road. Parking has become increasingly more difficult and yellow lines would cause further disruptions. This would make life very difficult for me with my small children and shopping.</p> <p>Parking up this road is not very good. The proposed will just make things worse and people will park on the grass verge. I have no problem with the proposed lines on the corner and other side</p> <p>Difficult to park outside our house at school times, will be harder to find a space if the lines are installed. Don't think visibility is a problem so cannot see what difference the proposals would make.</p>	<p>The proposed restrictions will improve vehicle movements near the junction. Grass verge parking is not acceptable; if this occurs regularly then Kent County Council may have to install bollards to prevent this.</p> <p>Reduced parking areas for residents during school drop off/pick up times is to be expected with properties in the near vicinity of a school, however, this problem is only for a limited time. The proposals will keep the junction area clear and improve vehicle movements.</p>
3			
4			

Lydbrook Close junction London Road, Sittingbourne

Recommendation – Approval

Comment No.	Resident Ref No.	Comment/Objection	Response
1	1	<p>Lines not needed where you are proposing, vehicles do not park here. Problems are at the side on 1 Lydbrook and 91 London Road and opposite the junction of London Road as I have indicated on the map on blue. Only vehicles causing the problems are the lorries when they pull out onto the opposite side of London Road</p>	<p>The proposed restrictions will keep the junction clear to allow safer vehicle movements. The installed lining can be monitored and if problems occur on the opposite side in London Road this can be addressed at a later date.</p>

2		<p>Definitely opposed to proposal. Understand difficulties of Nicholls and 91 London Road, but yellow lines will only serve to make our lives more difficult with access to our properties. The number of parked vans connected to 91 London Road will increase outside other resident's properties which is already restricted. It appears to me that it is the businesses operating in this residential area should not be allowed to enforce parking restrictions to suit themselves. Our lives will be a misery if yellow lines allowed.</p>	<p>The restrictions are not solely for the business vehicles operating in the area, but for all vehicle users. The proposed restrictions are enforcing what the Highway Code states in regards to parking close to junctions. Access to residents' properties should improve with the restriction implemented as the junction will be clear and visibility will improve.</p>
---	--	--	--

St George's Avenue junction Botany Close, Sheerness – Proposed Double Yellow Lines

Recommendation – Approval

Comment No.	Resident Ref No.	Comment/Objection	Response
1	1	Limited parking in this area	The Highway Code states that vehicles should not park close to a junction, the proposed restrictions will enforce this.

Eastchurch Road, Sheppey – Proposed Double Yellow Lines

Recommendation – Approval

Comment No.	Resident Ref No.	Comment/Objection	Response
1	1	Movement and visibility are not a problem when drivers adhere to 30 mph. Double Yellow Lines will give green light to go faster.	The initial request stated that sometimes vehicles park on the north side just before the bend. When vehicles travelled east towards the bend they had to overtake the parked vehicles only to be met with vehicles travelling in the opposite direction. The proposed restrictions are mainly on the bend so vehicle speeds should not increase due to the road layout.

Various junctions off Main Road, Queenborough – Double Yellow Lines

Recommendation – Approval

Comment No.	Resident Ref No.	Comment/Objection	Response
1	1	When the relief road is finished the promised traffic calming measures will make any yellow lines obsolete.	If this is the case and the restrictions are not needed then they can be removed
	2	Never had problems pulling in and out of this road. I feel that double yellow lines will make parking worse and cause people to park on the main road which is less safe. Why bother when the road will become much quieter when the Rushenden link road is complete.	(1) We have had several requests that visibility at the proposed junctions is poor due to vehicles parking too close. The proposed restrictions will improve vehicle movements and visibility.

	3	<p>Not enough parking areas at present, this will create more problems.</p>	<p>The proposed restrictions are approximately 10 metres in length at each junction so parking should not be severely disrupted.</p>
	4	<p>Movement will not be improved and the traffic flow will decrease when new link road is opened. Visibility will marginally be improved but cars will park in the already full side streets. Zebra crossing has reduced parking in Main Road. This will cause acute problems for Nos. 44-50.</p>	<p>As per (1)</p>
	5	<p>This will cause problems for many people not being able to park their cars, we do not have garages so we park on that side of the road to help traffic congestion, if we parked on the other side traffic would grind to a halt. When new road is built lorries should be banned from coming through Queenborough main road and only allow access to shops.</p>	<p>The proposed restrictions are approximately 10 metres in length at each junction so parking should not be severely disrupted. Weight restrictions on the public highway are dealt with by The Highway Authority.</p>
	6	<p>Object because there doesn't seem enough parking now. Main Road park here forcing residents to park elsewhere. Also have a disabled bay for someone who lives in Main Road for his son, but he also parks his van here.</p>	<p>The proposed restrictions are approximately 10 metres in length at each junction so parking should not be severely disrupted. Any vehicle can park in a disabled persons' parking bay as long as they have the blue badge displayed.</p>
	7	<p>Commuters should use the station car park; this would ease congestion in our roads.</p>	<p>We cannot force the commuters to use the station car park.</p>

	8	<p>Introducing Double yellow lines should be part of a broader initiative. 1st) Where will residents park 2nd) Link road will reduce HGV lorries, why not consider one way system through Queenborough which could accommodate residents parking bays and allow for yellow lines to be marked as suggested. 3rd) This proposal will cause anxiety and congestion ones parking in Main Road and is a quick fix and if part of a wider enterprise would save money in the short term.</p>	<p>The proposed restrictions are approximately 10 metres in length at each junction so parking should not be severely disrupted. The Highway Authority is responsible for the layout of the proposed relief road. The proposed restrictions will improve vehicle movements and visibility</p>
	9	<p>Object at this time due to fact it will put even more pressure on the parking situation. Idea will only work if resident parking scheme in place or once the link road is finished and residents of Main Road can park outside their own home.</p>	<p>There are no current plans to install a residents parking scheme in this area. The link road should reduce traffic in Main Road; therefore make parking in Main Road safer.</p>
	10	<p>Oppose as there is not enough room to park and yellow lines would just make matters worse. If free permits were issued to residents this would stop many people parking here that use the train station. Double Yellow lines would make things worse for residents.</p>	<p>The proposed restrictions are approximately 10 metres in length at each junction so parking should not be severely disrupted. There are no current plans to install a residents parking scheme. The proposed restrictions will keep the junction clear to allow safer vehicle movements and improve visibility.</p>
	11	<p>Yellow lines would be a waste of money. Something for teenagers of the area would be better.</p>	<p>The proposed restrictions will keep the junction clear to allow safer vehicle movements and improve visibility.</p>

Davington Hill, Faversham – Proposed Double Yellow Lines

Recommendation – Do Not Install

Comment No.	Resident Ref No.	Comment/Objecton	Response
1	1	Totally opposed to the introduction of double yellow lines. It is not necessary as vehicles do not generally park along the proposed area. As far as I know there have not been accidents there. Speed is the bigger danger and yellow lines could increase this problem. This is an attractive area and lines would be another attempt to urbanise the countryside.	As far as we are aware there are no records of accidents in this area.
	2	Once again proposed double yellow lines are situated in a position where there are rarely any parked cars. Dark Hill/ Davington area is experiencing heavier parking since Morrisons opened; this is causing some inconvenience and danger at the junction.	Other reports of increased parking in Dark Hill have been received by residents.
	3	Strongly object. Limited parking here and this will lead to further restrictions. Parking actually slows down traffic. Lived here 16 years, to my knowledge no accidents. Cars parked on the left have been seriously vandalised/stolen. Recent increase in violent crime has left us anxious to park close to our properties for personal safety. Suggest parking permits, very happy to pay.	There are no plans for a residents parking scheme in this area
	4	There is not enough parking now. When people leave their cars by the pond or on Dark Hill they are vandalised or stolen.	Parking in this area is limited due to the road layout.

5	<p>Yellow lines would be right outside my property, both of us have a car. Proposal states this would "improve vehicle movement and visibility", speeding vehicles are more of a concern. Could resident parking be explored along with speed humps. Yellow lines would cause misery to all residents. Parking alongside the Priory is not a problem, so yellow lines would force people to park outside the cottages.</p>	<p>There are no plans for a residents parking scheme in this area. Traffic calming would only be installed by The Highway Authority.</p>
6	<p>There have been no accidents in the 19 years I have been here. Reducing parking with proposed lines will increase traffic speeds. Davington Hill residents will be parking in Dark Hill as cars displaced and vehicles will be damaged. Double yellow lines on Dark Hill should be extended to improve sight lines.</p>	<p>There have been reports of increased parking in Dark Hill. This will be monitored.</p>